

SURREY COUNTY COUNCIL

LOCAL COMMITTEE (RUNNYMEDE)

DATE: 1 JULY 2013

LEAD OFFICER: ANDREW MILNE – AREA HIGHWAYS MANAGER (NW)

SUBJECT: HIGHWAYS UPDATE

DIVISIONS: ALL



<u>SUMMARY OF ISSUE:</u>
This report records the progress made with the delivery of proposed highways schemes, developer funded schemes, and revenue funded works this financial year.
<u>RECOMMENDATIONS:</u>
<p>The Local Committee (Runnymede) is asked to note:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) The progress with the ITS highways and developer funded schemes (ii) The progress with budget expenditure (iii) That a further Highways Update will be brought to the next meeting of this Committee.
<u>REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:</u>
The above recommendations are made to enable progression of all highway related schemes and works.

1. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND:

1.1 Surrey County Council's Local Transport Plan (LTP) states the aim of improving the highway network for all users, through measures such as reducing congestion, improving accessibility, reducing personal injury accidents, improving the environment and maintaining the highway network so that it is safe for all users.

2. ANALYSIS:

2.1 2012-13 Integrated Transport and Developer Funded Schemes

2.1.1 The Committee 2012/13 ITS capital budget for Runnymede was set at £133,285. A further £33,600 was carried forward from the previous financial year, giving a total budget of £166,885. Table 1 below records the schemes agreed on 20 February 2012 by the Local Committee for delivery in the 2012-13 financial year.

Table 1 - ITS and Developer Funded Schemes for 2012-13

Project	Budget estimate (£k)	Estimated completion cost (£k)	Details
A30 London Road j/w St Judes Road controlled pedestrian facilities	25	25	Separate report has been presented. £95k has been re-profiled to the 2013/14 to enable scheme delivery. Initial phase completed .
Stroude Road safety improvements	21	21	Introduction of a double white line system and installation of vehicle activated signs. Scheme completed.
Simplemarsh Road pedestrian crossing improvements	9	9	Scheme completed.
A317 St Peters Way Traffic Management	5	5	Study completed. Report to be circulated to Committee Members.
Lyne Crossing Road jctn with Lyne Lane road safety measures	14	14	Partially complete. Awaiting installation of signs (provisionally programmed for 9 July 2013).
TOTAL	74	67	

2.2 2012-13 Capital Maintenance Budget

2.2.1 Following the private meeting held on 24th July 2012, it was agreed to fund a programme of localised structural repair work (LSR) as shown in Table 2 below from the £133,285 capital maintenance allocation:

Item	Cost (£)	Comment
Northcroft Close	13,781	Completed.
Knowle Grove Close	23,100	Completed.
Staines Bridge (Chertsey Lane) roundabout	49,840	Completed.
Chertsey Lane	39,748	Completed.
Millan Close	8,509	Completed.
Faris Lane	25,928	Completed.
Knowle Grove (various)	33,320	Completed.

sections		
Ford Road	28,504	Completed.
Total	222,730	

Table 2 – 2012/13 LSR Programme

2.2.2 The approved LSR programme exceeded the capital maintenance allocation and was part funded by monies from the Local Committee Revenue budget. All sites have been successfully completed.

2.3 2013-14 Integrated Transport and Developer Funded Schemes

2.3.1 Following the Runnymede Local Committee held on 26th November 2012, the programme of schemes shown in Table 3 below was agreed:

Project	Budget estimate (£k)	Details
A30 London Road j/w St Judes Road controlled pedestrian facilities	350	Feasibility design completed. Detailed design in progress. Application has been made to utility companies for adjustments. Application for works to protected trees in progress. Legal agreement for dedication of land from RHUL being finalised.
A30 London Road/Christchurch Road junction improvements	20	Feasibility and design only project; for construction in 2014/15.
Woburn Hill/Weybridge Road speed limit assessment	15	Assessment complete. Reduction in speed limit recommended. A separate report on this is presented to Committee for decision. It is proposed that modifications are implemented in 2013/14.
Christchurch Road VAS	10	Installation of VAS to be carried out in 2013/14.
Byfleet Road bridge warning signs	10	Design of upgraded signs in progress. Intended for delivery in 2013/14.
A317 Weybridge level crossing signs	15	Liaison with Network Rail ongoing. It is proposed that sign is installed in 2013/14.
Bridge Road/Weir Road junction improvements	10	Traffic surveys requested. It is proposed that feasibility and design work is carried out in 2013/14 with a view to delivering identified improvements in 2014/15.
Egham Controlled Parking Zone	10	The Parking Team intend to carry out this study in 2013/14, subject to the availability of this funding.
TOTAL	440	

Table 3 – 2013/14 ITS programme

2.3.2 The capital ITS allocation for Runnymede is £133,285. In addition to this, £95,000 has been carried forward from the previous financial year. To support delivery of the A30 London Road/St Judes Road pedestrian facilities scheme, £108,000 of developer deposits have been allocated, together with a £25,000 contribution from Safety Engineering, and £20,000 of Local Committee Revenue, giving an overall ITS capital budget of £381,285. This programme exceeds available funding and was agreed to allow flexibility. For

this reason, depending upon confirmed costings, some schemes may need to be deferred.

2.4 Revenue maintenance allocations and expenditure 2013/14

- 2.4.1 The 2013/14 revenue maintenance allocation for Runnymede is £210,025. Table 4 shows how these funds have been allocated, and the spend progress to date.

Item	Allocation (£)	Comment (as at 13 June 2013)
Drainage / ditching	40,000	£1,300 committed.
Carriageway and footway patching	100,025	£30,536 committed.
Vegetation works	30,000	£7,400 committed.
Signs and markings	20,000	£100 committed.
Low cost measures	20,000	£0 committed.
Total	210,025	£39,336 committed

Table 4 – 2013/14 Revenue Maintenance Expenditure

2.5 COMMUNITY ENHANCEMENT FUND

- 2.5.1 The total 2013/14 Community Enhancement (formerly Community Pride) allocation for Runnymede is £30,000. Committee have previously determined to divide this fund equally between County Councillor Committee Members.
- 2.5.2 The Maintenance Engineer for Runnymede will provide guidance and assistance, organise cost estimates, and raise orders to ensure delivery of works.
- 2.5.3 To ensure that this fund is effectively spent, and to enable highways contractors to deliver works before the end of the financial year, it is recommended that all works should be agreed by 31st October 2013.
- 2.5.4 In the event of no firm spending decisions being made, the Maintenance Engineer will determine suitable works and organise their delivery.
- 2.5.5 A summary of spend progress is shown in Table 5.

Member	Allocation (£)	Comment (as at 12 th June 2013)
Chris Norman	5,000	£0 committed.
Yvonna Lay	5,000	£0 committed
John Furey	5,000	£0 committed.
Mel Few	5,000	£0 committed.
Marisa Heath	5,000	£0 committed.
Mary Angell	5,000	£0 committed.
Total	30,000	£0 committed

Table 5 – Community Enhancement Fund spend progress

2.6 2013-14 Capital Maintenance Budget

2.6.1 Following the meeting held on 26 February 2013, it was agreed to fund a programme of localised structural repair work (LSR) as shown in Table 6 below, utilising the £146,081 capital maintenance allocation:

Item	Estimated Cost (£)	Comment
A308 Windsor Road	-	Being delivered through Operation Horizon
School Lane	34104	Awaiting confirmation of price.
Claremont Road	33022	Awaiting confirmation of price.
A30 Egham Bypass	-	Delivery now Year 2 of Operation Horizon
Paddocks Way	24950	Awaiting confirmation of price.
Hare Hill	22512	Awaiting confirmation of price.
Trotsworth Avenue	18480	Awaiting confirmation of price.
Barnway	26000	Awaiting confirmation of price.
St Peters Way roundabout	103,600	Awaiting confirmation of price.
Total	262,668	

Table 6 – 2013/14 LSR Programme

2.6.2 The agreed programme exceeds the capital maintenance allocation, and was approved to allow flexibility of delivery and ensure that the budget can be fully utilised alongside the main capital programme (Operation Horizon).

2.6.3 Sites have now been jointly inspected with our highways contractor, and schemes will be delivered in the priority order shown on the basis of affordability.

3. OPTIONS:

3.1 No options have been presented in this report.

4. CONSULTATIONS:

4.1 Consultation is routinely carried out for highway-related schemes with relevant key parties, including residents, Local Members, Surrey Police and Safety Engineering. Specific details regarding consultation and any arising legal issues are included in individual scheme reports as appropriate.

5. FINANCIAL AND VALUE FOR MONEY IMPLICATIONS:

5.1 Proposed ITS schemes are prioritised to ensure that the maximum public benefit is gained from any funding made available. So far as is practicable, officer proposals follow the Countywide scheme assessment process (CASEM) and the prioritisation order determined by this.

5.2 The Committee Capital and Revenue Maintenance budgets are used to target the most urgent sites where a specific need arises, to keep up with general maintenance activities that reduce the need for expensive repairs in the future, and to support local priorities. The nature of these works is such that spend may vary slightly from that indicated in Table 4.

6. EQUALITIES AND DIVERSITY IMPLICATIONS:

- 6.1 It is an objective of Surrey Highways to treat all users of the public highway equally and with understanding. An Equalities Impact Assessment is undertaken for each Integrated Transport Scheme as part of the design process.

7. LOCALISM:

- 7.1 Through the views and needs expressed by local communities, and accommodating where possible the involvement of local communities in looking after the public highway, localism is routinely considered as part of the consultation and bidding processes for highway-related works. Specific details regarding localism are included in individual reports as appropriate.

8. OTHER IMPLICATIONS:

- 8.1 Other implications, such as the contribution that a well-managed highway network can give to reducing crime and disorder, are considered in relation to individual schemes, and specific details are included in individual reports as appropriate.

Area assessed:	Direct Implications:
Crime and Disorder	No significant implications arising from this report.
Sustainability (including Climate Change and Carbon Emissions)	No significant implications arising from this report.
Corporate Parenting/Looked After Children	No significant implications arising from this report.
Safeguarding responsibilities for vulnerable children and adults	No significant implications arising from this report.
Public Health	No significant implications arising from this report.

9. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

- 9.1 The Committee is asked to note the contents of this report.
- 9.2 It is recommended that a further Highways Update is presented at the next meeting of this Committee.

10. WHAT HAPPENS NEXT:

- 10.1 Officers will continue to progress delivery of all schemes and ensure effective use of all budgets.

Contact Officer:

Andrew Milne, Area Highways Manager (NW) – 03456 009 009

Consulted: